

Mechanism Method of Analysis

The objective is to find out a mechanism (independent or composite) such that $M \leq M_p$ throughout the structural element (or at least at the selected points.

- 1. Determine the total degree of indeterminacy of the structure (I).
- 2. Determine the location of all possible hinges and thus the number of possible hinges (H). Hinges may form at concentrated point loads, connections, and points of zero shear in case of members subjected to UDL.
- 3. The number of independent mechanisms (*M*) is calculated as under:

M = H - I

- 4. Independent mechanisms include beam mechanism, sway mechanism, gable mechanism and joint mechanism.
- 5. Sketch each mechanism one by one and give convenient virtual deformation (displacement or rotation) to any point, assuming all the hinges of this mechanism to be just formed.
- 6. Calculate the external and internal works done. External work done is equal to the load multiplied with its corresponding deformation. Internal work will be done only at the plastic hinges and calculated as the plastic moment multiplied with the rotation.

External WD (W_E) = Σ load x virtual displacement

Internal WD (W_I) = Σ Plastic moment at hinge x virtual hinge rotation

6. Using the principle of virtual work, evaluate the collapse load for the mechanism under consideration.

 $W_{\rm E} = W_{\rm I}$

7. Solve all the independent mechanisms and then form composite mechanisms by combining two or more independent and other composite mechanisms.

The <u>composite mechanisms</u> must be formed in such a way that plastic hinges are eliminated reducing the internal work done and hence the collapse load. Lesser Internal work-done gives lesser critical load.

- 8. Find the smallest value of collapse load.
- 9. Check, $M \leq M_p$ at all sections, if possible.

Mechanism Method of Analysis



Determine the collapse Load.



 θ_A is the virtual displacement given by us other displacements are as as a result of θ_A I = 1

No. of Possible Hinges, H = 3

6

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

$$W_{E} = P\theta \frac{L}{2}$$
$$W_{I} = 0 \times \theta + 2\theta \times M_{P} + \theta \times M_{P}$$
$$W_{I} = 3\theta \times M_{P}$$

Virtual Work Principle

$$W_{I} = W_{E}$$

$$3M_{P}\theta = P\theta \frac{L}{2}$$

$$P_{C} = \frac{6M_{P}}{L}$$
Same for other mechanism - 2



Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)



9

Mechanism Method of Analysis **Solution:** (contd...)

Beam Mechanism - 2

 $W_{\rm E} = P \times 2\theta + P \times 4\theta = 6P\theta$

 $W_{I} = 2M_{P} \times \theta + 2M_{P} \times 3\theta + M_{P} \times 2\theta$

 $W_{I} = 10 M_{P} \theta$

$$W_I = W_E$$

 $10M_{\rm P}\theta = 6P\theta$ $P = 1.67M_{\rm P}$



Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Beam Mechanism - 3

 $W_{E} = P \times 5\theta = 5P\theta$ $W_{I} = M_{P} \times \theta + M_{P} \times 2\theta + 0$ $W_{I} = 3M_{P}\theta$

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{I}} \!=\! \mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{E}}$$

 $3M_{\rm P}\theta = 5P\theta$ $P = 0.6M_{\rm P}$





Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Beam Mechanism - 1

 $W_{\rm E} = 4P \times 4.5\theta = 18P\theta$ $W_{I} = 0 + 12M_{P} \times 2\theta + 12M_{P} \times \theta$ $W_{I} = 36 M_{P} \theta$ $W_I = W_F$ $36M_{P}\theta = 18P\theta$ $P = 2M_{P}$

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Beam Mechanism - 2

 $W_{\rm F} = 4P \times 6\theta = 24P\theta$ $W_{I} = 12M_{P}\theta + 14M_{P} \times 2\theta + 14M_{P}\theta$ $W_{I} = 54 M_{P} \theta$ $W_I = W_E$ $54M_{P}\theta = 24P\theta$ $P = 2.25 M_{P}$

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Beam Mechanism - 3

 $W_{\rm F} = 24P\theta$ $W_{I} = 14M_{P}\theta + 16M_{P} \times 2\theta + 0$ $W_{I} = 46 M_{P} \theta$ $W_I = W_F$ $46M_{P}\theta = 24P\theta$ $P = 1.92 M_{P}$

 $P_{C} = 1.92 M_{P}$

Total indeterminacy (I) for Rigid Frames

I for rigid frame = no. of closed loops x 3 – Releases at the bottom from fixed end – any real hinge in the super structure

$$I = (5 \times 5) \times 3 - 4 - 1$$
$$I = 70$$



Releases at the bottom from fixed end means value of **1 for hinge and 2 for roller** support. Here 2 hinges and 1 roller so total value is **4**.

Elimination of Hinges in Mechanism Method

Combined mechanisms are obtained in such a way that number of plastic hinges are reduced.

There are two different ways in which hinges can be eliminated:

- 1. If all members of the joint rotate through same angle in different direction in mechanisms to be added. Direction of members in 1 mechanism is reverse of other.
- 2. When a member rotates by equal amount but in opposite direction in the mechanisms to be combined.

Joint Mechanism: It can only form if more than 2 members are meeting at a joint. Joint mechanism shows the rotation of a joint w.r.t the structure.



Joint Mechanism (contd...)

Location of hinge depends on loading and end conditions of other members.

Let, $M_P = 100 \text{ kN-m}$

 $M_A = 100 \text{ x} 4 = 400 \text{ kN-m} = 4M_P$

Hinge will form in member AB although its strength is greater than other members.



Joint mechanism determines location of hinges at joint of more than two members.



θ

Joint Mechanism (contd...)

CCW rotation of joint

θ

θ



Mechanism Method of Analysis **Example: Calculate the collapse load Solution:**

Р

I = 3

- $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{6}$
- M = 6 3 = 3

2 Beam mechanism and**1** sway mechanism

Joint mechanism is not required as <mark>no joint is</mark> having more than 2 members.



Mechanism Method of Analysis

Solution: (contd...)

Mec h	Type	Shape of Mech	W_{E}	WI	P _C
#	Mech				
1	Beam	2θ	$P \times 2\theta +$	$M_{\rm P} \times \theta + \\ 2M_{\rm P} \times 1.5\theta$	
		1.5θ	$P \times \theta$ = 3P θ	$+0.5M_{P}\theta$ $=4.5M_{P}\theta$	$1.5M_{\rm P}$
2	Beam	θ θ 2θ	$P \times 4\theta + P \times 2\theta$	$M_{\rm P} \times \theta + 2M_{\rm P} \times 3\theta$	1.5M _P
		30	=6Pθ	$ + M_{\rm P} \times 2\theta = 9M_{\rm P}\theta$	

22

Mechanism Method of Analysis

Solution: (contd...) Sum of individual cases Mec Shape of Mech $W_{\rm E}$ W_{I} $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}$ Type h of Mech # 4θ ıΡ р 3 Sway $P \times 4\theta$ $1.0M_{P}$ $4M_{P} \times \theta$ 4 times MP x θ This joint is eliminated because vertical member rotate by θ in opposite direction in mech 1 & 3. $4.5M_{P}\theta +$ 4θ $3P\theta +$ Mech 1 θ/2 4 $4M_P \times \theta$ -<u>,1.50</u> $4P\theta =$ $0.93M_{P}$ + $M_{P}\theta - M_{P}\theta$ θ Mech 3 7Pθ Sum of individual cases $=6.5M_{P}\theta$

Sum of individual cases minus WI of eliminated

Mechanism Method of Analysis

Solution: (contd...)

Mech #	Type of Mech	Shape of Mech	W _E	WI	P _C
5	Mech 2 + Mech 3		$6P\theta + 4P\theta = 10P\theta$	$13M_{P}\theta - M_{P}\theta - M_{P}\theta = 11M_{P}\theta$	1.10M _P

Right hinge at the joint can't be eliminated because column and beam are rotating in opposite directions.

$$P_{\rm C} = 0.93 M_{\rm P}$$



Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Mech	Type	Shape of Mech	W _E	WI	P _C
#	of Mech				
1	Beam		$1.5P \times 4\theta$ $= 6P\theta$	$0.8M_{P}\theta + M_{P} \times 2\theta + 0.8M_{P}\theta = 3.6M_{P}\theta$	0.6M _P
2	Beam	θ 4θ θ 2θ	1.8P×4θ = 7.2Pθ	$2M_{P} \times \theta +$ $2M_{P} \times 2\theta +$ $+ 2M_{P} \times \theta +$ $= 8M_{P}\theta$	1.11M _P

2MP is used because rotation is only in beam.

26

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Mech #	Type of Mech	Shape of Mech	W _E	WI	P _C
3	Sway of top story		P×4θ	$0.8 M_P \times 4\theta$	0.8M _P
4	Sway of bottom story	$\begin{array}{c} 4\theta \\ \theta \\ \theta \\ \theta \end{array}$	$P \times 4\theta + 2P \times 4\theta = 12P\theta$	$1.7M_{\rm P} \times 4\theta$ $= 6.8M_{\rm P}\theta$	0.567M _P

27

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Mech #	Type of Mech	Shape of Mech	W _E	$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{I}}$	P _C
5	Left Joint Mech	θ θ Clockwise	0	$0.8M_{P}\theta + 2M_{P}\theta + 1.7M_{P}\theta = 4.5M_{P}\theta$	∞
6	Right Joint Mech	θ	0	4.5M _P θ	∞

These joint mechanisms are fictitious & don't occur independently, will occur in some combination

Mechanism Method of Analysis

Solution: (contd...)

Mech #	Type	Shape of Mech	\mathbf{W}_{E}	$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{I}}$	P _C
11	Mech				
7	1+3		$6P\theta + 4P\theta$ = 10P θ	$3.6M_{P}\theta +$ $3.2M_{P}\theta -$ $0.8M_{P}\theta -$ $0.8M_{P}\theta$ $= 5.2M_{P}\theta$	$0.52 M_P$
8	7+4	θθθ	10Pθ+12Pθ = 22Pθ	$5.2M_{P}\theta + 6.8M_{P}\theta = 12M_{P}\theta$	0.545M _P

We need not to combine mech – 1 & 4 as no hinge will be eliminated. 29

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Mech #	Type of Mech	Shape of Mech	W _E	WI	P _C
9	8 + 5	θ	22Pθ +0 = 22Pθ	$12M_{P}\theta + 4.5M_{P}\theta - 2 \times 0.8M_{P}\theta - 2 \times 1.7M_{P}\theta - 11.5M_{P}\theta$	0.523M _P
10	9+6	θ θ θ θ θ	22P 0	$11.5M_{P}\theta + 4.5M_{P}\theta - 2 \times 0.8M_{P}\theta - 2 \times 1.7M_{P}\theta - 2 \times 1.7M_{P}\theta$	0.50M _P

Mechanism Method of Analysis Solution: (contd...)

Mech #	Type of Mech	Shape of Mech	W _E	WI	P _C
11	10 + 2	θ 2θ 2θ 2θ 2θ 2θ 2θ 2θ	7.2Pθ + 22Pθ = 29.2Pθ	$8M_{P}\theta + 11M_{P}\theta - 2 \times 2M_{P}\theta = 15M_{P}\theta$	$0.514M_P$



Gable Mechanism

Gable Mechanism

- One hinge forms at crown and two at the beam joints.
- Somewhat similar to sway mechanism.
- wind ward side column does not move.
- The hinge formed at the crown sinks the frame.
- Gable mechanism is separate/independent mechanism.

If an angle θ is known we cannot determine other angles by some simple method. For this we need to understand Instantaneous Center of Rotation

- In columns axial force is present simultaneous with moments.
- In beams of frames, smaller axial force in addition to moment may be present.
- Stresses are consumed by axial force hence plastic moment capacity reduces.





Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity (contd...)

Case-I N.A Is Within The Web

Applicable for smaller values of axial load, P.

From diagram "d"

$$P = t_{w} \times 2y_{o} \times F_{y}$$
$$y_{o} = \frac{P}{2t_{w}F_{y}}$$

If $y_o \leq \frac{d - 2t_f}{2}$ Then N.A. is with in Web

Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

Case-I N.A Is Within The Web (contd...)

Reduced moment capacity, M_{PC}

F_Y x Area of
 diagram on top or >
 bottom

Distance between x centers of comp. & tension area.

 $M_{PC} = F_Y (Z \text{ for total section} - Z \text{ for central portion of } 2y_o \text{ height})$

$$M_{PC} = F_{y} \times \left| \left[Z_{x} - \frac{t_{w} (2y_{o})^{2}}{4} \right] \right|$$
$$= F_{y} \times \left[Z_{x} - t_{w} y_{o}^{2} \right]$$
$$= M_{P} - F_{v} t_{w} y_{o}^{2}$$

M_P is full plastic moment capacity in the absence of Axial force

Dia: (e)

Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity
 Case-I N.A Is Within The Web (contd...)

 $M_{PC} = M_{P} - F_{y}t_{w} \frac{P^{2}}{4t_{w}^{2}F_{y}^{2}}$ $= M_{P} - \frac{P^{2}}{4t_{w}F_{y}}$ $\frac{M_{PC}}{4t_{w}F_{y}} \frac{M_{PC}}{4t_{w}F_{y}}\left(z \times F_{y}\right)$ $= 1 - \frac{P^2}{4t_w F_v (z \times F_v)} \times \frac{A^2}{A^2}$

38

(1)

Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

Case-I N.A Is Within The Web (contd...)

$$-\!\!-\!\!-\!\!-\!\!\frac{M_{PC}}{M_P}=1-\frac{A^2}{4t_w z}\times\!\left(\frac{P}{P_y}\right)^2$$

P_y = Max. axial compressive load in the absence of bending moment & buckling

If
$$P = 0$$
, $P/P_y = 0$ then $M_{PC}/M_P = 1$, $M_{PC} = M_P$

Eq: 1 is applicable when
$$y_o \leq \frac{d - 2t_f}{2}$$

OR $P \leq F_y \cdot t_w \cdot 2y_o = F_y \cdot t_w (d - 2t_f)$

 $QP_v = A \times F_v$

Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity (contd...)

Case-II N.A Is Within The Flange

Applicable when P is high and M is less.



Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

Case-II N.A Is Within The Flange (contd...)

 $=2y_{o}^{+}+\frac{d-2y_{o}}{2}_{+}=y_{o}^{+}+\frac{u}{2}$ Lever arm in the diagram $y_{o} = \frac{\overset{2}{P}}{2b_{f}F_{y}} - \frac{A}{2b_{f}}$ Let "A" be the total area of section $P = F_v [A - b_f (d - 2y_o)]$ $y_o = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{A}{2b_f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ $=F_{y}[A-b_{f}d-2y_{o}b_{f}]$ $y_{o} = \frac{1}{2b_{f}} \left(\frac{P}{F_{v}} + b_{f} d - A \right)$ If $y_0 = d/2, 1-P/P_y=0$ so $P/P_y = 1$ 41

Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

Case-II N.A Is Within The Flange (contd...)



Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

Case-II N.A Is Within The Flange (contd...)

$$\frac{M_{PC}}{M_{P}} = \frac{A \times d}{2 \times Z} \left(1 - \frac{P}{P_{y}} \right) + \frac{A^{2}}{4b_{f}Z} \left(1 - \frac{P}{P_{y}} \right)^{2}$$
This eq is valid for: $y_{o} > \frac{d}{2} - t_{f}$ and $P > F_{y}.t_{w}(d-2t_{f})$

$$\frac{M_{PC}}{M_{P}} = \frac{A}{2Z} \left[d \left(1 - \frac{P}{P_{y}} \right) + \frac{A}{2b_{f}} \left(1 - \frac{P}{P_{y}} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(2)
(2)



Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

Actual curve is difficult to use so specifications allow to approximate the original equations by approximate equations.

For $P/P_y = 0.2$ draw Hz. Line. Where this line cuts the original curve join that point with 1.0 value point on both axis. Then equation can be made for the straight lines.

AISC Interaction Equations

$$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}} + \frac{8}{9} \left(\frac{M_{rx}}{M_{cx}} + \frac{M_{ry}}{M_{cy}} \right) \le 1.0 \qquad \text{For} \quad \frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}} \ge 0.2$$

 $P_{\rm r}$

 $P_{\rm c}$

 $M_{\rm r}$

$$\frac{P_{\rm r}}{2P_{\rm c}} + \frac{M_{\rm rx}}{M_{\rm cx}} + \frac{M_{\rm uy}}{M_{\rm cy}} \le 1.0 \qquad \text{For} \quad \frac{P_{\rm r}}{P_{\rm c}} < 0.2$$

- required factored axial compressive strength (LRFD)
 required allowable axial compressive strength (ASD)
 - design axial compressive strength (LRFD) = φ_cP_n
 allowable axial compressive strength (ASD) = P_n / Ω
- required factored flexural strength (LRFD)
 required allowable flexural strength (ASD)

- $M_{\rm c}$ = design flexural strength (LRFD) = $\phi_{\rm b}M_{\rm n}$ = allowable flexural strength (ASD) = $M_{\rm n} / \Omega$
- ϕ_c = resistance factor for compression = 0.9
- ϕ_b = resistance factor for flexure = 0.9
- - M_t = Required nominal flexural strength for no axial load = M_{PC}/ϕ_b

Suppose

$$\frac{\frac{P_u}{\phi_c P_n} > 0.2}{\frac{P_u}{\phi_c P_n} + \frac{8}{9} \frac{M_{PC}}{\phi_b M_P} = 1.0}$$

Influence of Axial Force On Plastic Moment Capacity

 $M_{\rm P} = M_{\rm t} \left(\frac{P_{\rm u}}{\phi_{\rm o} P_{\rm n}} + 0.889 \right)$

 $Z_{x} = Z_{t} \left(\frac{P_{u}}{\phi P} + 0.889 \right)$

 $Z_{x} = Z_{t} \left(0.5 \frac{P_{u}}{\phi_{1}P_{u}} + 1.00 \right)$

After simplification

 $\frac{\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{u}}}{\phi} \leq 0.2$

For

Approximate interaction equations to reduce the trials

48

Design Procedure For Column (beam column)

- 1. Select section without considering the axial force. $Z_t =$
- 2. Calculate $\phi_c F_{cr} \& \phi_c P_n$ for the trial section. Also calculate $P_u / \phi_c P_n$ and decide which interaction equation is applicable.
- 3. Find out increased value of Z. Because due to axial force there is reduction in plastic moment capacity so we need to calculate the reduction.
- 4. Select section for this plastic section modulus.
- 5. Apply interaction equations. If L.H.S < 1.01, section is O.K. If too much less than 1.0, the section is uneconomical.

Example:

Design a beam column having required plastic moment of 300 kN-m and an axial force (factored) of 500 kN. A-36 steel is to be used. F_y = 250 MPa. Effective length, KL = 2.0m

Solution:

$$Z_{t} = \frac{(M_{P})_{req}}{0.9F_{y}} = \frac{300 \times 10^{6}}{0.9 \times 250}$$
$$= 1333 \times 10^{3} \text{ mm}^{3}$$

From beam selection table W 530 x 66

 $L_P = 1.60 \text{m} < L_u = 2.0 \text{ m}$ [Not better as a column] W 360 x 79

 $L_P = 2.44 \text{m} > L_u = 2.0 \text{ m}$ In this way bracing is not required. In beams we can provide bracing but in case of column it may be difficult.

Trial Section W 360 x 79

A = 10,100 mm² r_x = 150mm, r_y = 48.8mm

 $KL_u/r_{min} = 2000/48.8 = 41 < 200$ O.K.

Solution:

$$\phi_{c}F_{cr} = 205.82MPa$$

$$\phi_{c}P_{n} = \frac{205.82 \times 10,100}{1000} \cong 2079kN$$

$$\frac{P_{u}}{\phi_{c}P_{n}} = \frac{500}{2079} = 0.24 > 0.2$$

New value of "Z"

$$Z = Z_t \left(\frac{P_u}{\phi_c P_n} + 0.889 \right)$$

In this equation we can use the original value of Z.

Solution:

 $Z = 1333 \times 10^3 (0.24 + 0.889)$

 $=1506 \times 10^{3} \text{mm}^{3}$

Now select the section according to this new value of Z

W 360 x 91, A = 11,500mm², Z = 1671 x 10³ mm³, $\phi_b M_P$ = 376 kN-m r_x = 152 mm, r_y = 62.2 mm, L_p = 3.11 m

 $L_{\rm P}$ > $L_{\rm u}$. Check local stability yourself.

$$\frac{\mathrm{KL}_{\mathrm{u}}}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{min}}} = 33 \quad \Longrightarrow \phi_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cr}} = 212.38 \mathrm{MPa}$$

Solution:

$$\phi_{c}P_{n} = \frac{212.38 \times 11500}{1000} = 2442 \text{kN}$$

$$\frac{P_{u}}{\phi_{c}P_{n}} = \frac{500}{2442} = 0.205 > 0.2$$

$$\frac{P_{u}}{\phi_{c}P_{n}} + \frac{8}{9} \frac{M_{ux}}{\phi_{b}M_{nx}} = 0.914 < 1.01$$

Final Selection: W 360 x 91

Concluded